

1 [Supporting the California Energy Commission's recommendation for a cost/risk/benefit
2 analysis of the State's continued reliance on aging nuclear facilities.]

3 **Resolution supporting the California Energy Commission's recommendation for a**
4 **cost/risk/benefit analysis of the state's continued reliance on aging nuclear facilities**
5 **and to support the planning for our state's energy needs and decrease any risks from a**
6 **radioactive release due to an act of terrorism, malice or insanity, or to earthquake or**
7 **age-related accidents.**

8
9
10 WHEREAS, In December 2005, Senator Harry Reid (D-Nevada) introduced legislation
11 to store all high-level radioactive waste at reactor sites, including Diablo Canyon and San
12 Onofre on California's seismically active coast; and

13 WHEREAS, in November 2005 California Energy Commission's (CEC) recommended
14 evaluation of "the long-term implications associated with the continuing accumulation of spent
15 fuel at California's operating [nuclear power] plants, including a case-by-case evaluation of
16 public safety and ratepayer costs of on-site interim storage of spent fuel versus transporting
17 spent fuel offsite for interim storage..." and stated that given "the high level of uncertainty
18 surrounding the federal waste disposal program, California's utilities will likely be forced to
19 retain spent fuel in storage facilities [and] at currently operating reactor sites for an indefinite
20 period of time; and

21
22 WHEREAS, in January 2006, the nation's only proposed temporary offsite facility for
23 storage of high-level radioactive waste was designated as a federal wilderness site and will
24 not longer be available to temporarily store California's waste; and
25

1 WHEREAS, in January 2006, a stop-work order was issued for Yucca Mountain, the
2 nation's only proposed permanent high-level radioactive waste facility; and

3 WHEREAS, hundreds of tons of high-level radioactive waste are currently stored on
4 California's fragile coast bluffs in dangerous proximity to the City and County of San
5 Francisco; and

6 WHEREAS, if license renewals are granted to California's operating nuclear plants,
7 additional high-level radioactive waste sites must be constructed in California; now therefore
8 be it

9 **RESOLVED**, that the San Francisco Board of Supervisors supports the California
10 Energy Commission's recommendation for a cost/risk/benefit analysis of the state's continued
11 reliance on aging nuclear facilities, recognizing that it is time for California to address how
12 many additional tons of high-level radioactive waste we will allow to be stored on our state's
13 earthquake-active coastal zones and what legacy this could mean for future generations; and
14 be it

15 **FURTHER RESOLVED**, The City and County of San Francisco is interested in working
16 with the California Energy Commission's, the State of California, the CPUC, and PG&E to
17 plan for our state's energy needs and decrease our risks from a radioactive release due to an
18 act of terrorism, malice or insanity, or to earthquake or age-related accidents.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails Resolution

File Number: 060155

Date Passed:

Resolution supporting the California Energy Commission's recommendation for a cost/risk/benefit analysis of the state's continued reliance on aging nuclear facilities and to support the planning for our state's energy needs and decrease any risks from a radioactive release due to an act of terrorism, malice or inhumanity, or to earthquake or age-related accidents.

February 7, 2006 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED

Ayes: 10 - Ammanno, Daly, Duffy, Elsbernd, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick,
Mirkarinti, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Alioto-Pier

File No. 060155


I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on February 7, 2006 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.



Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

02/08/06

Date Approved



Mayor Gavin Newsom